EUJUST CT

Individual justification of CT practices in Estonia

Legal Framework



- Principle of individual justification is stated in the Radiation Act.
- Minister of Health and Labor specifies in the Regulation nr 71:
 - Referrer shall ensure that referral is justified;
 - Referral shall be made under consideration of the patient's health data, previous procedures and radiation safety requirements;
 - Process of justification requires consideration of the patient's previous radiological procedures (National PACS is a part of Estonian national HIS (2014));
 - Special attention shall be paid while planning a procedure for a child < 15 y, pregnant or breastfeeding woman;
 - Woman of childbearing age shall be asked about potential pregnancy.
 - Criterias for the contents of the referral.





- Minister of Health and Labor specifies in the Regulation nr 71 (cont'd):
 - The person who performs exposure (*practitioner*) has the right to amend or decline an unjustified procedure.
 - Radiation technologist always has a possibility to consult a radiologist.

Clinical audit



- Radiation Act sets up a requirement to ensure proper performance of the clinical audit of medical radiological procedure.
- Minister of Health and Labor specifies in the Regulation nr 71:
 - Licensee shall audit the most commonly used radiological procedure.
 - Internal audit once in year and external audit in every 5 years.
 - The licensee should follow the EC RP 159, when planning and carrying out the audit.
 - The outcome of the audit must be in the form of a report and report finished in the 3 month.
 - Reports must be included in the Quality Manual.





- Processing of patient data is specified in Health Services Organization Act:
 - Health care providers have the right to process personal data required for the provision of health care service without permission of the data subject.
 - Medical radiation technologist isn't recognized as a health professional.
- The permission from ethics committee was required before starting the data collection. Preparation of documents and their assessment by the committee took more than 3 months.

Others



- All data collection was done manually. There was no possibility of extracting the necessary information from the digital referral system.
- There were challenges to do a properly translating data from English to Estonian language and vice versa.
- Lack of national referral guidelines. Local guidelines exist but these should be integrated into the HIS and referring workflow..

EUJUST CT

Thank you!